



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,408	01/26/2001	Yoshihito Asao	Q62613	4699
7:	590 04/02/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, HANH N	
_	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/769,408	ASAO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		HANH NGUYEN	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'				
1)□ 2a)□	•	nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
	on Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔀 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 01/26/2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. The document JPH4-26345 was not found in the application.

Drawings

Figure 25-27 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because 1. only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The abstract is objected to because of the following informalities: "is greater a 2. flow rate" should be "is greater than a flow rate".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2834

4. Claims 1,2,4,5,11,12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Umeda et al. and further in view of Hiroshi (EPA 0 671 801)

Regarding claim 1, the applicant's admitted prior art discloses an automotive alternator comprising: a rotor fastened to a shaft rotatably supported by a front bracket (1 in Fig. 25) and a rear bracket (3), said rotor having a pair of Lundell-type pole cores disposed inside said brackets; a stator (8) supported by said brackets, said stator being disposed so as to cover an outer circumference of said rotor, a pulley (4) fastened to a front end of said shaft; and a rectifier (12) disposed at a rear end of said rotor, wherein a plurality of front-end and rear-end air intake apertures (1a and 2a) are disposed in axial end surfaces of said front and rear brackets, respectively; a plurality of front-end and rear-end air discharge apertures (1b and 2b) are disposed in radial side surfaces of said front and rear brackets, respectively; and front-end and rear-end blowing means (5a and 5b) are disposed at front and rear axial ends of said rotor, respectively, whereby a front-end ventilation pathway in which a cooling air flow flows through said front-end air intake apertures into said front end bracket and flows out through said front-end air discharge apertures, a rear-end ventilation pathway in which a cooling air flow flows through said rear-end air intake apertures into said rear-end bracket and flows out through said rear-end air discharge apertures, and a front-to-rear ventilation pathway in which a cooling air flow flows through an inner side of said rotor between said front end and said rear end each is generated by operation of said blowing means (see arrows in Fig. 25).

Art Unit: 2834

The applicant's admitted prior art fails to show the blowing means wherein a capacity of said rear-end blowing means is greater than a capacity of said front-end blowing means, and a front-end air intake flow rate is greater than a rear-end air intake flow rate and a stator comprising: a cylindrical stator core in which a plurality of slots having grooves lying in an axial direction are disposed circumferentially so as to open onto an inner circumferential side; and a stator coil installed in said stator core so as to constitute a predetermined winding construction

However, Umeda et al. shows a stator cooling arrangement comprising: a cylindrical stator core (32 in Fig. 2) in which a plurality of slots having grooves (the portion surround insulator 34 in Fig. 4) lying in an axial direction are disposed circumferentially so as to open onto an inner circumferential side; and a stator coil installed in said stator core so as to constitute a predetermined winding construction for the purpose of improving cooling.

Moreover, Hiroshi et al. disclose an alternator for vehicle with the blowing means wherein a capacity of said rear-end blowing means is greater than a capacity of said front-end blowing means (fan blades 8' at rear-end has a greater size than the size of fan blade 8 at front-end as shown in Fig. 1), and a front-end air intake flow rate is greater than a rear-end air intake flow rate (because the distance from the air intake aperture is so long that the resistance to the air flow is large, the flow rate becomes small) for the purpose of improving cooling.

Art Unit: 2834

Since the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al. and Hiroshi are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Umeda et al. and Hiroshi would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the applicant's admitted prior art.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify applicant's admitted prior art and form an alternator with the blowing means wherein a capacity of said rear-end blowing means is greater than a capacity of said front-end blowing means, and a front-end air intake flow rate is greater than a rear-end air intake flow rate; and modify applicant's admitted prior art and form a stator comprising: a cylindrical stator core in which a plurality of slots having grooves lying in an axial direction are disposed circumferentially so as to open onto an inner circumferential side; a stator coil installed in said stator core so as to constitute a predetermined winding construction as taught by Umeda et al. and Hiroshi for the purpose of improving cooling.

Regarding claim 2 and 14, Hiroshi also shows the automotive alternator wherein a front-end air discharge flow rate is greater than a rear-end air discharge flow rate (inherent when front-end intake flow rate is greater than front-end discharge flow rate)

Regarding claim 4, Hiroshi also shows the automotive alternator wherein said front-end and rear-end blowing means are fans.

Regarding claim 5, Hiroshi also shows the automotive alternator wherein: said front-end blowing means is one of said Lundell-type pole cores; and said rear-end blowing means is a fan (Fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 11 Umeda et al. also show the automotive alternator wherein said stator coil is constructed by: inserting coil segments composed of short conductors (33 in Fig. 2) formed into a general U shape from a first end of said stator core into slot pairs (Col. 3 line 41) in which said slots in each pair are a predetermined number of slots apart (Fig. 2); and circumferentially bending and joining together free end portions of said coil segments extending outwards at a second end of said stator core from slots the predetermined number of slots apart so as to constitute the predetermined winding construction (Col. 3, lines 46-47), wherein turn-end coil ends formed by U-shaped turn ends of said coil segments are aligned in rows circumferentially to constitute a turn-end coil end group, and joint-end coil ends formed by said joining of said free end portions of said coil segments are aligned in rows circumferentially to constitute a joint-end coil end group (Fig. 2 and 3).

Regarding claim 12, Umeda et al. also show the automotive alternator wherein said joint-end coil end group of said stator coil is disposed at said front end of said stator core (Fig. 5)

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Umeda et al. and Hiroshi and further in view of Kato et al.

Regarding claim 13, the automotive alternator disclosed by the applicant's admitted prior art modified by Umeda et al. and Hiroshi shows all the limitations of the claimed invention but fails to show the automotive alternator wherein said stator coil is constructed by linking a plurality of winding sub-portions so as to constitute the

Art Unit: 2834

predetermined winding construction, wherein each of said winding sub-portions is constituted by one strand of wire constituted by a large number of straight portions housed inside said slots and a large number of turn portions linking together end portions adjacent straight portions outside said slots, said strand of wire being installed in said stator core by housing said straight portions so as to form different layers relative to a slot depth direction in slots the predetermined number of slots apart, and coil ends formed by said turn portions are aligned in rows circumferentially to constitute front-end and rear-end coil end groups of said stator coil.

However, Kato et al. disclose an alternator wherein said stator coil is constructed by linking a plurality of winding sub-portions so as to constitute the predetermined winding construction, wherein each of said winding sub-portions is constituted by one strand of wire (Fig. 18) constituted by a large number of straight portions (2011-2016) housed inside said slots and a large number of turn portions (2211-2216) linking together end portions adjacent straight portions outside said slots, said strand of wire being installed in said stator core by housing said straight portions so as to form different layers relative to a slot depth direction in slots the predetermined number of slots apart (Fig. 5), and coil ends formed by said turn portions are aligned in rows circumferentially to constitute front-end and rear-end coil end groups of said stator coil for the purpose of improving winding structure.

Since the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Kato et al. are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Kato et al. would have been

Art Unit: 2834

recognized in the pertinent art of the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al. and Hiroshi

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art and make a stator wherein said stator coil is constructed by linking a plurality of winding sub-portions so as to constitute the predetermined winding construction, wherein each of said winding sub-portions is constituted by one strand of wire constituted by a large number of straight portions housed inside said slots and a large number of turn portions linking together end portions adjacent straight portions outside said slots, said strand of wire being installed in said stator core by housing said straight portions so as to form different layers relative to a slot depth direction in slots the predetermined number of slots apart, and coil ends formed by said turn portions are aligned in rows circumferentially to constitute front-end and rear-end coil end groups of said stator coil as taught by Kato et al. for the purpose of improving winding structure.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Umeda et al. and Hiroshi and further in view of Yoshioka (Patent number 5,977,668).

Regarding claim 3, the automotive alternator disclosed by the applicant's admitted prior art modified by Umeda et al. and Hiroshi shows all the limitations of the claimed invention but fails to show the front to rear ventilation pathway is block.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2834

However, Yoshikoka discloses an alternator wherein the front to rear ventilation pathway is blocked by the ring (133 in Fig. 1 and Fig. 3) for the purpose of improving cooling.

Since the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Yoshioka are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Yoshioka would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al. and Hiroshi.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art and form an alternator wherein the front to rear ventilation pathway is blocked as taught by Yoshikoka for the purpose of improving cooling.

7. Claims 6-8 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Umeda et al. and Hiroshi and further in view of Tanaka et al.

Regarding claims 6 and 15, the automotive alternator disclosed by the applicant's admitted prior art modified by Umeda et al. and Hiroshi shows all the limitations of the claimed invention but fails to show clearly the structure of the blowing means.

However, Tanaka et al. disclose an AC generator wherein said front-end (30 in Fig. 1) and rear-end blowing means (31 in Fig. 2) are fans, each fan comprising: a generally annular fan base portion; a plurality of blade base plates extending radially outwards from outer circumferential edge portions of said fan base portion; and a

Application/Control Number: 09/769,408 Page 10

Art Unit: 2834

plurality of blades (30a and 31a) standing on an outer circumferential edge portion of each of said plurality of blade base plates for the purpose of circulating the cooling air.

Since the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Tanaka et al. are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Tanaka et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al. and Hiroshi

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art and form an alternator wherein said front-end and rear-end blowing means are fans, each fan comprising: a generally annular fan base portion; a plurality of blade base plates extending radially outwards from outer circumferential edge portions of said fan base portion; and a plurality of blades standing on an outer circumferential edge portion of each of said plurality of blade base plates as taught by Takana et al. for the purpose of circulating the cooling air.

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Tanaka et al. also show the automotive alternator wherein said rear-end fan (31) is provided with a greater number of blades (13 blades) than said front-end fan (11 blades)

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Hiroshi also shows the automotive alternator wherein a maximum blade height of said rear-end fan is greater than a maximum blade height of said front-end fan (Fig. 2).

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/769,408

Art Unit: 2834

8. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Takana et al. and further in view of Ishida et al.

Regarding claim 9 and 18, the automotive alternator disclosed by the applicant's admitted prior art modified by Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Tanaka shows all the limitations of the claimed invention but fails to show the automotive alternator wherein said blade base plates of said rear-end fan are formed into a shape which blocks valley portions between adjacent magnetic poles of said rotor.

However, Ishida et al. disclose an electric machine wherein said blade base plates of said rear-end fan (7 in Fig. 2) are formed into a shape which blocks valley portions between adjacent magnetic poles of said rotor for the purpose of guiding the cooling air.

Since the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al., Hiroshi, Tanaka et al. and Ishida et al. are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Ishida et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Tanaka.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art and form an alternator wherein said blade base plates of said rear-end fan are formed into a shape which blocks valley portions between adjacent magnetic poles of said rotor as taught by Ishida et al. for the purpose to guide the cooling air.

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/769,408

Art Unit: 2834

9. Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art in view of Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Takana et al. and further in view of Yoshioka.

Regarding claim 10, the automotive alternator disclosed by the applicant's admitted prior art modified by Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Tanaka shows all the limitations of the claimed invention but fails to show the automotive alternator wherein a shielding plate is disposed for blocking air gaps formed by said blade base plates of said rear-end fan and valley portions between adjacent magnetic poles of said rotor.

However, Yoshioka discloses an electric machine wherein a shielding plate (133 in Fig. 1) is disposed for blocking air gaps formed by said blade base plates of said rearend fan and valley portions between adjacent magnetic poles of said rotor for the purpose to guide the cooling air.

Since the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al., Hiroshi, Tanaka et al. and Yoshioka are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Yoshioka would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the applicant's admitted prior art, Umeda et al., Hiroshi and Tanaka.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art and form an alternator wherein said blade base plates of said rear-end fan are formed into a shape which blocks valley portions between adjacent magnetic poles of said rotor as taught by Yoshikoka for the purpose of guiding the cooling air

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh N Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3466. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

HNN

March 18, 2002

THOMAS M. DOUGHERTY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2180

280

Page 13